

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/576,757	Applicant(s) SEDEROFF ET AL.		
	Examiner Jeffrey E. Russel	Art Unit 1654		
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____			
(1) <u>Jeffrey E. Russel.</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Michelle Chew Wong.</u>	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>02 August 2011</u>	Time: _____			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i> Claims discussed: <i>4</i> Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See examiner's amendment. SEQ ID NOS:1 and 3 are identical, and the examiner suggests cancelling the reference to SEQ ID NO:1 in claim 4.</i>				
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/ Jeffrey E. Russel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654 <div style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div>				